

## **Optimization of laser corrections** (ultralegacy tags production)

M. Besancon, F. Couderc, M. Dejardin, J.-L. Faure, F. Ferri, S. Ganjour, P. Gras, P. Jarry, B. Lenzi, J. Malcles *CEA-Saclay/IRFU/SPP, Gif-sur-Yvette, France* 

> D. Bailleux FNAL, Batavia, YL, USA

T. Dimova, L. Kardapoltsev, I. Ovtin, Y. Savchenko, Y. Skovpen, D. Shtol NSU, Novosibirsk, Russia

> ECAL Days in Zurich, May 22, 2019

### Strategy of preparation of ultralegacy tag

# Due to imperfection of online data production of offline tag is inevitable

**PNs :** prompt is based on data of previous year offline can be prepared using data of current year

**Crystals:** offline analyses based on whole year data can be done, so we can find and "cure":

- crystals with non physical behavior
- stucking crystals
- crystals without LaserCorrection

### Strategy of preparation of ultralegacy tag: workflow



## Preparation of ultralegacy tag: PN study(I)

- > PNs in LM system:
  - each crystal is referenced by 2 PN diodes
  - each PN diode monitors 2 monitoring regions
- pnA/pnB should be flat for both sides
- pnA/pnB drifts for both sides:
  - one PN is drifting
  - Compare PNs response with other SM to define pathological one
  - remove it from computing for both sides

#### pnA/pnB drifts only on one side:

- one fiber is drifting
- Compare PNs response with other SM to define pathological one
- remove it from computing for one side



### Preparation of ultralegacy tag: PN study(II)

PNA/PNB

1.00

0.99

0.98

0.97

02/07/17

31/12/17

02/07/18

Time(dd/mm/yy)



fiber problem (in prompt – both sides are treated with 1 PN, in offline – one side can be treated with 1 PN, other – with 2 PNs)

## Preparation of ultralegacy tag: PN study(III)

For prompt map from previous year is used!

For ultralegacy tag map for current year is used!



2 – pnA and pnB used



- 2 PN problem
- 3 fiber problem
- 4 no drift

## Preparation of ultralegacy tag : PN study (IV)

#### **Searching for regions with 2 bad PNs:**

• Drift in laser correction during the year using map for: <u>Correction/RingAverage(July2018)</u>

Correction/RingAverage(May2018)

- Correlation with PN structure
- Only 1 PN is used

# **Swapping of PN data: looking for PNs with similar amplitude:**

- in the neighboring LMR
- in symmetric LMR.



### Preparation of ultralegacy tag : PN study (V)

FED	PNs	
One PN drifting		
611	5	
Two PNs are drifting		
602,603	5,10	

New PNs in 2016

#### New PNs in 2017

FED	PNs	
One PN drifting		
630	1	
632	6	
601,609	2,17	
604,605	27,32	
647,648	46,51	
One fiber is drifting		
613	0	
646,654	40,55	

#### New PNs in 2018

FED	PNs	
One PN drifting		
612	9	
618	9	
619	2	
One fiber is drifting		
619	5	
619	3	
631	4	
636	4	
653	49	
Two PNs are drifting		
651,652	61,76	

Bug connected with switching off of TP corrections was found and corrected for 2017 and 2018 data

#### Preparation of ultralegacy tag: abnormal crystals(I)

2 methods of looking for abnormal crystals (crystal by crystal)

- 1. Stucking at the same value:
  - only JSON runs are used
  - stuck period is longer 4h (>5 iov)
  - More than once
  - PhiSym cross check

EB, ieta= -5, iphi= 343, N= 29142





### Preparation of ultralegacy tag: abnormal crystals(II)

2 methods of looking for abnormal crystals (crystal by crystal)

> PhiSym IC map for 2018

- 2. Using PhiSym IC algorithm:
  - $\circ$  Obtaining of map
  - Looking for correlation between PhiSym IC and variation of laser correction





EB, jeta= -27, jphi= 139, N= 21018

date (day/month/year

## Preparation of ultralegacy tag: russian and chinese crystals in endcaps

Difference in ring's average for russian and chinese crystals in **internal rings**: separate treatment for ring's average replacement



**R2** 

**R1** 

C2

**C1** 

C0

**R2** 

**R1** 

**C2** 

**C1** 

C0

00/11/10

## Preparation of ultralegacy tag: algorithm



Calculating ring's average without taking into account crystals from the list. Ring's division: 11s ring in each endcap 170 ring in barral

Production of new tag with where LC for crystas in list replaced by ring's average

# Map of replaced crystals for 2017



Total: 1408 EB: 1097 EE- : 134; EE+: 177 Map of replaced crystals for 2018



Total: 1377 EB: 1065 EE- : 128; EE+: 181

## Cross checking of new tags

#### For prompt

- Comparing PhiSym for prompt and new tags
- Checking crystal by crystal in all suspicious regions
- Correction of crystal list
- Production of final version of ultra rereco tag





#### For rereco



#### Preparation of ultralegacy tag: 2017 year

NoTP2



Rereco\_v4



#### Preparation of ultralegacy tag: 2018 year





#### Preparation of ultralegacy tag: 2016 year

Rereco\_v2

Ratio rereco/offline





## Conclusions

- 1. New approach for offline laser calibration has been developed and applied for ultralegacy tag production. This approach includes
  - > PN drift corrections during corresponding year of operation
  - correction of the crystals with abnormal behavior
- 2. Ultralegacy tag status:
  - □ for 2017: EcalLaserAPDPNRatios\_rereco2017\_v4
  - □ for 2018 : EcalLaserAPDPNRatios\_rereco2018\_v3
  - □ for 2016: corrected version was generated, ready for uploading into db (EcalLaserAPDPNRatios\_rereco2016\_v2)

# Backup slides

#### Explanation for PhiSym maps

- Fit IC by linear function
- Channels with bad status and unstable k factors are marked by white color



# Map of replaced crystals for 2016



Total: 1565 EB: 1154 EE- : 177; EE+: 243 Added for new version: 35