# **Power measurement 11 July**



DP2 power degradation again after Technical stop 29 June (B field on again)

-6.5% on fast monitoring and -11.5% on slow monitoring from 1-8 July

 $\rightarrow$  Need a power measurement

## Action 11 JULY:

1) Clean both the main and monitoring fibre;

2) Check and move the folding mirror which was already replaced and moved during the TS in June. Its position was changed again to see the consequence.

# **Power measurement 11 July**





#### 28 June

 P1) DP2-447 output:
 93 mW

 P2) Before fold mirror 3:
 83 mW -0.49dB

 P3) After attenuator:
 77 mW -0.82 dB

 P4) After 1m fibre:
 65 mW -1.44dB

### 11 July

- P1) DP2-447 output:
   89

   P2) Before fold mirror 3:
   80

   P3) After attenuator:
   70

   P4) After 1m fibre:
   60
- 89.3 mW 80 mW *-0.47dB* 70.5mW *-1 dB* 62.5mW **-1.55dB**

-> Before and after moving the folding mirror, power increase by +0.6% no damage in the folding mirror;

-> Cleaning main fibre : no improvement on power;

-> Cleaning slow fibre: power goes from 44 a.u. level to 48.5 a.u., +10%. This explains the difference observed between the slow and fast monitors.

### My conclusion:

✓ No damage was found in the folding mirror replaced in June;

✓ The lager degradation observed by slow monitor is due to dust on the fiber, indicating regular fiber cleaning is necessary, especially after works in the laser barracks;

✓ DP2 power of 89.3 mW measured in B=3.8T is 4% lower than the 93 mW measured in B=0T, indicating a B field induced pulse intensity degradation;

✓ DP2 power of 89.3 mW measured in B=3.8T for about 12 days is 2.6% higher then the 87 mW measured in B=3.8T for more than 20 days, indicating a possible slow magnetization process in the laser.

✓ The increasing of the DP2 laser pulse intensity in B=0T indicates a possible slow de-magnetization process in the laser.